

Federal Communications Commission Response to
United States House of Representatives
Committee on Energy and Commerce
Universal Service Fund Data Request of June 22, 2010

Request 5
Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies, by Study Area

We are seeking additional data from USAC so that we can complete portions of the question that are not currently answered



Study Areas with the Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies in 2010

Rank	Incumbent ETC	State	Holding Company¹	Support²	Supported Lines³	Annual Support per Line
1	WESTGATE COMMUNICATIONS LLC D/B/A WEAVTEL	Washington	Westgate Communications LLC	\$375,858	16	\$23,491
2	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Alaska	Adak Eagle Enterprises, LLC	\$2,784,558	165	\$16,876
3	BEAVER CREEK TELEPHONE COMPANY	Washington	May, Bott et al.	\$465,690	28	\$16,632
4	BORDER TO BORDER	Texas	Border to Border Communications, Inc.	\$1,828,017	135	\$13,541
5	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Hawaii	Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.	\$25,583,457	2,068	\$12,371
6	ALLBAND COMMUNICATIONS COOPERATIVE	Michigan	Allband Communications Cooperative	\$1,030,962	96	\$10,739
7	ACCIPITER COMM.	Arizona	Accipiter Communications, Inc.	\$3,340,878	360	\$9,280
8	TERRAL TEL CO	Oklahoma	Terral Telephone Company	\$2,060,376	250	\$8,242
9	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	Colorado	American Broadband Communications et al.	\$1,126,056	180	\$6,256
10	DELL TEL. CO-OP - TX	Texas	Dell Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	\$4,480,362	769	\$5,826

¹ Holding company name indicates common control/common ownership of carriers.

² Calendar year disbursements include prior period adjustments.

³ Supported lines is the number of lines in service that are receiving support, not the number of homes in the study area. WCB's IATD now receives the number of supported lines at the end of each quarter. The number of lines in 2010 was based on the total number of supported lines as of June 30, 2010.



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Westgate Communications dba Weavtel

- ★ Capital
- Cities over 100,000
- Cities between 50,000 and 100,000
- State
- Counties
- Glacier
- Lake or Reservoir



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (4)	S20580	WESTGATE COMM. LLC dba WEAUTEL	Westgate Communications LLC	\$188,382	15	\$12,559
2009 (1)	S20580	WESTGATE COMM. LLC dba WEAUTEL	Westgate Communications LLC	\$340,238	18	\$19,442
2010 (1)	S20580	WESTGATE COMM. LLC dba WEAUTEL	Westgate Communications LLC	\$375,858	16	\$23,491



Note:
1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.

Sources:

Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Telecommunications Suite, June 2010.
County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)

Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011

Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Adak Tel Utility



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (5)	610989	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Adak Eagle Enterprises, LLC	\$1,967,538	185	\$10,664
2009 (3)	610989	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Adak Eagle Enterprises, LLC	\$2,686,280	162	\$16,633
2010 (2)	610989	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Adak Eagle Enterprises, LLC	\$2,784,558	165	\$16,876



Note:

1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.

Sources:

Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)

Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Beaver Creek / Timberline Telecom

- ★ Capital
- Cities over 100,000
- Cities between 50,000 and 100,000
- State
- Counties
- Glacier
- Lake or Reservoir



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (1)	520581	BEAVER CREEK TIMBRLN	May, Bott et al.	\$454,524	28	\$16,528
2009 (4)	520581	BEAVER CREEK TIMBRLN	May, Bott et al.	\$397,916	28	\$14,470
2010 (3)	520581	BEAVER CREEK TIMBRLN	May, Bott et al.	\$465,690	28	\$16,632

Note:
 1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.
 Sources:
 Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Telecommunications Suite, June 2010.
 County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
 Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)
 Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011

Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Border to Border Communications

- ★ Capital
- Cities over 100,000
- Cities between 50,000 and 100,000
- State
- Counties
- Lake or Reservoir
- Swamp or Marsh









Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (2)	442073	BORDER TO BORDER	Border to Border Communications, Inc.	\$1,883,082	134	\$14,053
2009 (2)	442073	BORDER TO BORDER	Border to Border Communications, Inc.	\$1,773,185	98	\$18,094
2010 (4)	442073	BORDER TO BORDER	Border to Border Communications, Inc.	\$1,828,017	135	\$13,541

Note:
 1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.


Sources:
 Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
 County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
 Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)

Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011





Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Sandwich Isles Communications






Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (3)	623021	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.	\$26,372,952	1,984	\$13,296
2009 (5)	623021	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.	\$23,945,376	2,207	\$10,852
2010 (5)	623021	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.	\$25,583,457	2,068	\$12,371

Note:
 1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.

Sources:
 Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
 County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
 Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)


Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011





Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Alband Communications Cooperative


- ★ Capital
- Cities over 100,000
- State
- Counties



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (6)	310542	ALLBAND COMM. COOP.	Alband Communications Cooperative	\$745,140	77	\$9,677
2009 (7)	310542	ALLBAND COMM. COOP.	Alband Communications Cooperative	\$941,844	115	\$8,190
2010 (6)	310542	ALLBAND COMM. COOP.	Alband Communications Cooperative	\$1,030,962	96	\$10,739

Note:
 1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.

Sources:
 Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008; Boundary of Alband Communications Coop from Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v11.2 July 2007.
 County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
 Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)
 Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Accipiter Communications - AZ

- ★ Capital
- Cities over 100,000
- Cities between 50,000 and 100,000
- State
- Counties



0 4 8 16 24 32 Miles

Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010

Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (7)	452191	ACCIPITER COMM.	Accipiter Communications, Inc.	\$2,242,602	288	\$7,800
2009 (6)	452191	ACCIPITER COMM.	Accipiter Communications, Inc.	\$3,007,000	330	\$9,126
2010 (7)	452191	ACCIPITER COMM.	Accipiter Communications, Inc.	\$3,340,878	360	\$9,280



Note:


1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.

Sources:



Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau
Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)

Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011






Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: Terral Tel Co



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (8)	432029	TERRAL TEL CO	Terral Telephone Company	\$1,685,103	257	\$6,570
2009 (8)	432029	TERRAL TEL CO	Terral Telephone Company	\$1,614,582	244	\$6,631
2010 (8)	432029	TERRAL TEL CO	Terral Telephone Company	\$2,060,376	250	\$8,242

Note:
 1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.


Sources:
 Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
 County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
 Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)
 Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: South Park Tel Co

- ★ *Capital*
- *Cities over 100,000*
- *Cities between 50,000 and 100,000*

- Counties
- Lake or Reservoir



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (10)	462195	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	American Broadband Communications et al.	\$990,807	195	\$5,081
2009 (9)	462195	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	American Broadband Communications et al.	\$1,086,314	177	\$6,155
2010 (9)	462195	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	American Broadband Communications et al.	\$1,126,056	180	\$6,256

Note:


1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.

Sources:

Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)

Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011





**Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010:
Dell Telephone Cooperative**

- ★ Capital
- Cities over 100,000
- Cities between 50,000 and 100,000
- State
- Counties
- Lake or Reservoir
- Swamp or Marsh



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010						
Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2010 (10)	442066	DELL TEL CO-OP - TX	Dell Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	\$4,480,362	769	\$5,826


Note:

1. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.


Sources:

Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Telecommunications Suite, June 2010.
County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines)

Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011





Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010: South Central Tel



Study Areas with the Highest Disbursements per Line 2008-2010

Year (Rank)	Study Area Code	Study Area Name	Holding Company	Disbursements	Lines ¹	Disbursements per Line
2008 (9)	431831	S. CENTRAL TEL - OK	South Central Telephone Association, Inc.	\$1,708,206	317	\$5,389
2009 (10)	431831	S. CENTRAL TEL - OK	South Central Telephone Association, Inc.	\$1,836,062	305	\$6,020

Note:
 1. 2008 and 2009 lines were calculated as the average of lines as of year end and lines as of the end of the previous year. 2010 lines were as of June 2010.
 Sources:
 Study Area Boundaries: Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v12.1 April 2008.
 County Boundaries: U.S. Census Bureau.
 Data: USAC (Disbursements, 2010 Lines), NECA (2008-2009 Lines)
 Prepared by FCC - WCB - IATD, June 2011



Competitive ETCs in Study Areas with the Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies in 2010

Rank	Incumbent ETC	Competitive ETC (CETC)	CETC Holding Company	Competitive ETC Support ¹	CETC Lines ²	Support per Line ³
2	ADAK TEL UTILITY	GCI COMMUNICATIONS CORP. - CL	General Communication, Inc.	\$2,427,688	215	\$11,318
2	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Windy City Cellular	Windy City Cellular, LLC	\$559,112	46	\$12,089
				\$2,986,801	261	
4	BORDER TO BORDER	DIALTONE SERVICES, LP	DialToneServices, L.P.	\$251,566	23	\$10,938
				\$251,566	23	
5	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	CORAL WIRELESS DBA MOBI PCS	Coral Wireless, LLC	\$30,743,434	2,408	\$12,766
5	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	NPCR, INC.	Sprint Nextel Corporation	\$4,555,840	355	\$12,842 ⁴
				\$35,299,275	2,763	
8	TERRAL TEL CO	United States Cellular Corp - CL	Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.	\$597,221	79	\$7,560
8	TERRAL TEL CO	UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION	Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.	\$238,035	31	\$7,679
				\$835,256	110	
9	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	Alltel Communications, LLC	Verizon Communications Inc.	\$3,508	1	\$5,262 ⁵
				\$3,508	1	
10	DELL TEL. CO-OP - TX	DIALTONE SERVICES, LP	DialToneServices, L.P.	\$331,773	83	\$3,985
				\$331,773	83	

¹ Source: USAC data.

² Federal Communications Commission staff estimates of average annual competitive ETC lines.

³ There are several reasons why the per line support figures here do not match the per line figures in the prior table. Per-line support is often calculated at a level of aggregation below the study area. For example, per line support rates for both Interstate Access and Interstate Common Line Support are calculated separately for residential and multi-line business lines within a study area. If the lines of the incumbent and competitor(s) are allocated differently across classes of lines, per-line support from these funding mechanisms will be unequal at the study-area level. It is likely that the lines of the incumbent and competitor(s) are allocated across wire centers in different proportions, leading to a condition where per-line HCM support is unequal at the study area level. Further, competitive ETCs may not have received support for all 12 months of the year due to eligibility. Also, USAC cannot provide the FCC with prior period adjustments broken down by incumbent LEC study area.

⁴ In 2008, Sprint Nextel committed to phase down its CETC high-cost universal service support in 20 percent increments over five years, beginning in 2009. The Commission released an Order on August 31, 2010 providing guidance to the Universal Service Administrative Company regarding the methodology to achieve those commitments. *High-Cost Universal Service Support, Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Request for Review of Decision of Universal Service Administrator by Corr Wireless Communications, LLC*, WC Docket No. 05-337, CC Docket No. 96-45, Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 25 FCC Rcd 12854 (2010). Sprint Nextel chose to achieve its reduction by reducing its CETC high-cost universal service support in other states, so Sprint Nextel's (NPCR) support per line in Hawaii is approximately the same as Coral Wireless's support per line in 2010.

⁵ Support per line is higher than total support because the carrier had an eligible line for less than a full year.

Study Areas with the Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies in 2009

Rank	Incumbent ETC	State	Holding Company¹	Support²	Lines (average³)	Annual Support per Line
1	WESTGATE COMMUNICATIONS LLC D/B/A WEAATEL	Washington	Westgate Communications LLC	\$340,238	18	\$19,442
2	BORDER TO BORDER	Texas	Border to Border Communications, Inc.	\$1,773,185	98	\$18,094
3	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Alaska	Adak Eagle Enterprises, LLC	\$2,686,280	162	\$16,633
4	BEAVER CREEK TELEPHONE COMPANY	Washington	May, Bott et al.	\$397,916	28	\$14,470
5	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Hawaii	Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.	\$23,945,376	2,207	\$10,852
6	ACCIPITER COMM.	Arizona	Accipiter Communications, Inc.	\$3,007,000	330	\$9,126
7	ALLBAND COMMUNICATIONS COOPERATIVE	Michigan	Allband Communications Cooperative	\$941,844	115	\$8,190
8	TERRAL TEL CO	Oklahoma	Terral Telephone Company	\$1,614,582	244	\$6,631
9	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	Colorado	American Broadband Communications et al.	\$1,086,314	177	\$6,155
10	S. CENTRAL TEL - OK	Oklahoma	South Central Telephone Association, Inc.	\$1,836,062	305	\$6,020

¹ Holding company name indicates common control/common ownership of carriers.

² Calendar year disbursements include prior period adjustments.

³ Supported lines is the number of lines in service that are receiving support, not the number of homes in the study area. The average number of lines in 2009 was calculated by averaging the number of lines at the end of years 2008 and 2009.

Competitive ETCs in Study Areas with the Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies in 2009

Rank	Incumbent ETC	Competitive ETC (CETC)	CETC Holding Company	CETC Support ¹	CETC Lines ²	Support per Line ³
2	BORDER TO BORDER	DialToneServices, L.P.	DialToneServices, L.P.	\$198,835	15	\$13,038
				<u>\$198,835</u>	<u>15</u>	
3	ADAK TEL UTILITY	GCI Communications Corp.	General Communication, Inc.	\$442,166	68	\$6,502
				<u>\$442,166</u>	<u>68</u>	
5	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Coral Wireless dba Mobi PCS NPCR, Inc.	Coral Wireless, LLC Sprint Nextel Corporation	\$25,614,882	2056	\$12,460
				<u>\$7,538,694</u>	<u>609</u>	\$12,379
				<u>\$33,153,576</u>	<u>2665</u>	
8	TERRAL TEL CO	UNITED STATES CELLULAR CORPORATION	Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.	\$349,971	123	\$2,851
				<u>\$349,971</u>	<u>123</u>	
9	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	Alltel Communications, LLC Western Wireless	Verizon Communications Inc. Verizon Communications Inc.	\$1,900	2	\$950
				<u>\$551</u>	<u>1</u>	\$551
				<u>\$2,451</u>	<u>3</u>	
10	S. CENTRAL TEL - OK	Cellular Network Partnership Cellular Network Partnership	Cellular Network Partnership Cellular Network Partnership	\$444,262	224	\$1,983
				<u>\$109,041</u>	<u>240</u>	\$454
				<u>\$553,303</u>	<u>464</u>	

¹ Source: USAC data. Although USAC provides the FCC Competitive ETC payments broken down by Incumbent LEC study area, USAC cannot do that for prior period adjustments. Therefore unlike the prior table, these figures are unadjusted disbursements for the calendar year, which are based on projections.

² USAC provides the FCC with the number of competitive ETC lines in each incumbent LEC study area on a monthly basis. Because competitive ETCs may not receive funds for all months of the year, the reported figure is the average number of lines for those months in which the carrier received support in that incumbent LEC study area.

³ There are several reasons why the per line support figures here do not match the per line figures in the prior table. Per-line support is often calculated at a level of aggregation below the study area. For example, per line support rates for both Interstate Access and Interstate Common Line Support are calculated separately for residential and multi-line business lines within a study area. If the lines of the incumbent and competitor(s) are allocated differently across classes of lines, per-line support from these funding mechanisms will be unequal at the study-area level. Similarly, High-Cost Model (HCM) Support is calculated for each wire center rather than the study area as a whole. It is likely that the lines of the incumbent and competitor(s) are allocated across wire centers in different proportions, leading to a condition where per-line HCM support is unequal at the study area level. Further, competitive ETCs may not have received support for all 12 months of the year due to eligibility. Also, see footnote 1.

Study Areas with the Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies in 2008

Rank	Incumbent ETC	State	Holding Company ¹	Support ²	Lines (average ³)	Annual Support per Line
1	BEAVER CREEK TIMBRLN	Washington	May, Bott et al.	\$454,524	28	\$16,528
2	BORDER TO BORDER	Texas	Border to Border Communications, Inc.	\$1,883,082	134	\$14,053
3	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	Hawaii	Sandwich Isles Communications, Inc.	\$26,372,952	1,984	\$13,296
4	WESTGATE COMMUNICATIONS LLC D/B/A WEAVTEL	Washington	Westgate Communications LLC	\$188,382	15	\$12,559
5	ADAK TEL UTILITY	Alaska	Adak Eagle Enterprises, LLC	\$1,967,538	185	\$10,664
6	ALLBAND COMMUNICATIONS COOPERATIVE	Michigan	Allband Communications Cooperative	\$745,140	77	\$9,677
7	ACCIPITER COMM.	Arizona	Accipiter Communications, Inc.	\$2,242,602	288	\$7,800
8	TERRAL TEL CO	Oklahoma	Terral Telephone Company	\$1,685,103	257	\$6,570
9	S. CENTRAL TEL - OK	Oklahoma	South Central Telephone Association, Inc.	\$1,708,206	317	\$5,389
10	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	Colorado	American Broadband Communications et al.	\$990,807	195	\$5,081

¹ Holding company name indicates common control/common ownership of carriers.

² Calendar year disbursements include prior period adjustments.

³ Supported lines is the number of lines in service that are receiving support, not the number of homes in the study area. The average number of lines in 2008 was calculated by averaging the number of lines at the end of years 2007 and 2008.

Competitive ETCs in Study Areas with the Largest Per-Line High-Cost Subsidies in 2008

Rank	Incumbent ETC	Competitive ETC	Competitive ETC Support ¹	CETC Lines ²	Support per Line ³
3	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	NPCR, Inc.	\$14,042,097	913	\$15,380
		Coral Wireless dba Mobi PCS	\$19,363,416	1,289	\$15,022
			\$33,405,513	2,202	
8	TERRAL TEL CO	United States Cellular Corporation	\$532,977	127	\$4,197
				\$532,977	127
9	S. CENTRAL TEL - OK	Cellular Network Partnership	\$651,272	185	\$3,520
				\$651,272	185
10	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	Western Wireless	\$3,244	1	\$3,244
				\$3,244	1

¹ Source: USAC data. Federal Communications Commission staff was unable to independently validate these data.

² Federal Communications Commission staff estimates of average annual competitive ETC lines.

³ There are several reasons why the per line support figures here do not match the per line figures in the prior table. Per-line support is often calculated at a level of aggregation below the study area. For example, per line support rates for both Interstate Access and Interstate Common Line Support are calculated separately for residential and multi-line business lines within a study area. If the lines of the incumbent and competitor(s) are allocated differently across classes of lines, per-line support from these funding mechanisms will be unequal at the study-area level. Similarly, High-Cost Model (HCM) Support is calculated for each wire center rather than the study area as a whole. It is likely that the lines of the incumbent and competitor(s) are allocated across wire centers in different proportions, leading to a condition where per-line HCM support is unequal at the study area level. Further, competitive ETCs may not have received support for all 12 months of the year due to eligibility. Also, USAC cannot provide the FCC with prior period adjustments broken down by incumbent LEC study area.

List of Carriers Not Receiving High-Cost Support in 2010

Study Area			Wireless	Percentage of Population Covered	Percentage of Area Covered
Rank	Study Area Name	Competitor Not Receiving High-Cost Support ¹			
1	WESTGATE COMMUNICATIONS LLC D/B/A WEAATEL	360NETWORKS (USA) INC. - WA AT&T BANDWIDTH.COM CLEC, LLC - WA CHARTER FIBERLINK WA-CCVII, LLC - WA COMPUTERS 5*, INC. DBA LOCALTEL - WA ELTOPIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - WA FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS NORTHWEST INC. - WA LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - WA MCI WORLDCOM COMMUNICATIONS, INC. - WA Sprint Nextel ZAYO BANDWIDTH NORTHWEST, INC.	Y	0	12
3	BEAVER CREEK TELEPHONE COMPANY	360NETWORKS (USA) INC. - WA ADVANCED TELCOM GROUP, INC. - WA AT&T BANDWIDTH.COM CLEC, LLC - WA BROADWING COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - WA CBEYOND COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - WA COMCAST PHONE OF WASHINGTON/OREGON, LLC - WA ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, LLC DBA INTEGRA TELECOM - WA ELTOPIA COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - WA FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS NORTHWEST INC. - WA GLOBAL CROSSING LOCAL SERVICES, INC.-WA INTEGRA TELECOM OF WASHINGTON, INC. - WA INTERNATIONAL TELCOM, LTD. - WA LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - WA MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES LLC PAC - WEST TELECOMM, INC. - WA TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP - SEATTLE TW TELECOM OF WASHINGTON LLC - WA XO WASHINGTON, INC.	Y	59	51

List of Carriers Not Receiving High-Cost Support in 2010

Study Area		Competitor Not Receiving High-Cost Support ¹	Wireless	Percentage of	Percentage of
Rank	Study Area Name			Population Covered	Area Covered
4	BORDER TO BORDER	AT&T	Y	100	100
		Leap	Y	83	75
		Sprint Nextel	Y	91	76
		T-Mobile	Y	82	65
5	SANDWICH ISLES COMM.	AT&T	Y	100	100
		CLEARWIRE TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, LLC - HI			
		COMMPARTNERS, LLC - HI			
		HAWAIIAN TELCOM SERVICES COMPANY, INC. - HI			
		HAWAIIAN TELCOM, INC. - HI			
		MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES LLC			
		Mobi PCS	Y	100	100
		PACIFIC LIGHTNET, INC.DBA WAVECOM SOLUTIONS - HI			
6	ALLBAND COMMUNICATIONS COOPERATIVE	SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. - HI			
		T-Mobile	Y	100	100
		TW TELECOM OF HAWAII L.P. - HI			
		Verizon Wireless	Y	100	100
		AT&T	Y	100	100
	Sprint Nextel	Y	11	22	
	Verizon Wireless	Y	56	54	

List of Carriers Not Receiving High-Cost Support in 2010

Study Area		Competitor Not Receiving High-Cost Support ¹	Wireless	Percentage of Population Covered	Percentage of Area Covered
Rank	Study Area Name				
7	ACCIPITER COMM.	360NETWORKS (USA) INC. - AZ			
		ALLEGIANCE TELECOM OF ARIZONA, INC.			
		AT&T	Y	100	100
		AT&T LOCAL			
		BANDWIDTH.COM CLEC, LLC - AZ			
		CITYNET ARIZONA, LLC - AZ			
		COX ARIZONA TELCOM, INC. - AZ			
		ELECTRIC LIGHTWAVE, LLC DBA INTEGRA TELECOM - AZ			
		ESCHELON TELECOM OF ARIZONA, INC. - AZ			
		GILA LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIER, INC. - AZ			
		GLOBAL CROSSING LOCAL SERVICES, INC.-AZ			
		GREAT WEST SERVICES, LTD. - ARIZONA			
		Leap	Y	72	26
		LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC - AZ			
		MCC TELEPHONY OF THE WEST, LLC - AZ			
		MCIMETRO ACCESS TRANSMISSION SERVICES LLC			
		MCLEODUSA TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, INC.- AZ			
		MIDVALE TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, INC.			
		MOUNTAIN TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. - CLEC			
		NATIONAL BRANDS, INC. DBA SHARENET COMMS - AZ			
		NEUTRAL TANDEM-ARIZONA, LLC - AZ			
		NORTH COUNTY COMMUNICATIONS CORP.			
		PAC - WEST TELECOMM, INC. - AZ			
		PEERLESS NETWORK OF ARIZONA, LLC - AZ			
		QWEST COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LLC			
		QWEST CORPORATION			
		SADDLEBACK COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY			
		SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. - AZ			
		Sprint Nextel	Y	100	100
		TELEPORT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP - PHOENIX			
		T-Mobile	Y	100	100
		TW TELECOM OF ARIZONA LLC			
		Verizon Wireless	Y	100	100
		XO ARIZONA, INC.			
		YMAX COMMUNICATIONS CORP. - AZ			

List of Carriers Not Receiving High-Cost Support in 2010

Study Area		Competitor Not Receiving High-Cost Support ¹	Wireless	Percentage of	Percentage of
Rank	Study Area Name			Population Covered	Area Covered
8	TERRAL TEL CO	AT&T	Y	100	100
		Choice Wireless	Y	0	1
		Sprint Nextel	Y	100	100
		T-Mobile	Y	100	100
		Verizon Wireless	Y	100	100
9	SOUTH PARK TEL. CO.	AT&T	Y	100	100
		Commnet Wireless	Y	100	100
10	DELL TEL. CO-OP - TX	AT&T	Y	59	45
		Leap	Y	0	1
		Plateau Wireless	Y	1	2
		Proximity Mobility	Y	18	5
		Sprint Nextel	Y	4	10
		T-Mobile	Y	0	1
		Verizon Wireless	Y	53	33

* Rounds to zero.

¹For wireless carriers, Tele Atlas Telecommunications Suite 2010.6 was used to determine the study areas for each incumbent ETC. Wireless Provider American Roamer® [Jan 2011 (2G)] was then used to create a list of competing wireless carriers. United States Census data (2010) were used to determine the percentages of population and area covered by each wireless carrier. For wireline carriers, the LERG was used to determine the rate centers served by each incumbent ETC. Any other wireline carrier serving those rate centers was added to the list of wireline carriers competing against the incumbent ETC. Because the Commission does not know what portion of the rate center that a wireline serves and because the incumbent ETC study area may not encompass the entire rate center area census data were not used to determine the percentages of population and area covered by the wireline carrier. Also, not all carriers serve residential customers. A list of carriers receiving High-Cost Support was generated using USAC data filed with the FCC. Carriers on the first two lists (the American Roamer-based list and the LERG-based list) USAC data filed with the FCC. Carriers on the first two lists (the American Roamer-based list and the LERG-based list) that were not on the High-Cost Support list are identified here. Because carriers may serve only a portion of a rate center, the list of wireline carriers may be over inclusive. In particular, because very small incumbent LECs may serve only a small portion of a large rate center, the list of wireline carriers (which can be developed only at the rate center level) may be significantly over inclusive in some instances. Also, matching carrier names among the lists is an imperfect art because, for example, carriers often use multiple different names. Although care was used in the matching process, there may be some carriers on this list that receive High-Cost Support. Further, our sources may have omitted other carriers, especially resellers, that compete with the incumbent but do not receive High-Cost Support.

² Tele Atlas Telecommunications Suite 2010.6 does not include wire centers for this incumbent LEC. Boundary of Allband Communications Coop from Tele Atlas Wire Center Premium v11.2 July 2007.